

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,809	04/18/2001	Thomas F. La Porta	47-1-17	8516
7590 08/10/2005			EXAMINER	
•	DICKEY & PIERCE,	BEAMER, TEMICA M		
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2681	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/837,809	LA PORTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Temica M. Beamer	2681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply l . reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 February 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7,9-13,15-24 and 28-30</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-24 and 28</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7,9-13,29 and 30</u> is/are rejected 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority documed application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority documed the priority docu	nents have been received.  The sents have been received in Application of the sent secure of the secure of the sent secure of the secure	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-13, 29 and 30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 29, 30, 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onoe et al, (Onoe), U.S. Patent No. 5,361,396 in view of Hall et al (Hall), U.S. Patent No. 6,438,383.

Regarding claim 29, Onoe discloses receiving data addressed to a mobile host at a home agent (102/104) on the network (col. 4, lines 31-42 and col. 5, lines 30-43); initiating a page request from the home agent (col. 5, lines 37-43), directing the page request from the home agent to at least one of the base stations in a current paging area of the mobile host (col. 5, lines 37-43), receiving a page response from the mobile host at a base station in the current paging area (col. 4, lines 31-42 and col. 5, lines 37-43); and delivering the data addressed to the mobile host (col. 4, lines 31-42).

Onoe, however, fails to disclose wherein the base stations in the network are coupled to an IP network.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,809

Art Unit: 2681

In a similar field of endeavor, Hall discloses a system and method relating to packet data communication in a cellular system. Hall further discloses wherein base stations are coupled to an IP network (i.e., the Internet) (col. 7, lines 16-22).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Once with the teachings of Hall for the purpose of providing further services such as packet services to mobile subscribers.

Regarding claim 30, Onoe discloses receiving data addressed to a mobile host at a home agent (102/104) on the network (col. 4, lines 31-42 and col. 5, lines 30-43); tunneling the data addressed to a mobile host from the home agent to a designated foreign agent on the network (i.e., in the event information needs to be transferred to other mobile control centers (col. 6, lines 41-47), receiving a page response from the mobile host at a base station in the current paging area (col. 4, lines 31-42 and col. 5, lines 37-43); and delivering the data addressed to the mobile host (col. 4, lines 31-42).

Once, however, fails to disclose wherein the base stations in the network are coupled to an IP network.

In a similar field of endeavor, Hall discloses a system and method relating to packet data communication in a cellular system. Hall further discloses wherein base stations are coupled to an IP network (i.e., the Internet) (col. 7, lines 16-22).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Onoe and Hall discloses the method of claim 29 including directing information to the home agent concerning a current location of the mobile host form a base station that receives the page response form the mobile host (col. 5, lines 30-43).

Application/Control Number: 09/837,809

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Onoe and Hall discloses the method of claim 29 including directing the page request from the home agent to the base stations according to a selected one of a fixed paging algorithm, a hierarchial paging algorithm or a last-location paging algorithm (col. 4, lines 31-43 and col. 5, lines 30-43).

4. Claims 4-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onoe and Hall as applied to claims 29 and 30 above, and further in view of Lorello et al (Lorello), U.S. Patent No. 6,751,463.

Regarding claims 4-6, the combination of Onoe and Hall fails to disclose buffering data addressed to the mobile host at the home agent when the host is in a standby state, delivering the data buffered at the home agent to the mobile host when the host transitions to an active state and delivering data later received at the home agent and destined to the mobile host, to the mobile host while the host is in the active state. It should be pointed out, however, that Onoe does teach wherein a mobile ost can be in an active or non-active state (col. 4, lines 48-49).

In a similar field endeavor, Lorello discloses an intelligent queue for information teleservice messages with superceding updates. Lorello further discloses the limitations of claims 4-6 as outlined above (col. 2, lines 2-22).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Onoe and Hall with the teachings of Lorello for the purpose of ensuring that messages are delivered to mobile devices when in an active or non-active state.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,809

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Onoe and Hall discloses the method of claim 30 as described above and designating a last serving base station in the paging area for the mobile host as a last foreign agent (col. 5, lines 30-43). The combination, however, fails to disclose buffering data from the home agent at the last foreign agent.

Lorello, however, teaches the concept of buffering information for a mobile host when the host is not available.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Onoe and Hall with the teachings of Lorello for the purpose of ensuring that messages are delivered to mobile devices when in an active or non-active state.

5. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onoe, Hall and Lorello as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Sawyer et al (Sawyer), U.S. Patent No. 5,307,400.

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Onoe, Hall and Lorello fails to disclose initiating the page request from the last foreign agent and directing the page request to at least one of the base stations in the paging area of the host.

In a similar field of endeavor, Sawyer discloses call routing in mobile telephone systems. Sawyer further discloses the limitations of claim 10 (col. 12, lines 42-66).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Onoe, Hall and Lorello with the teachings of Sawyer for the purpose of ensuring the most appropriate area is paged for the mobile

Application/Control Number: 09/837,809 Page 6

Art Unit: 2681

(i.e., the most recent area the mobile was last detected in), thereby saving system resources.

Regarding claim 11, the combination of Onoe, Hall, Lorello and Sawyer discloses the method of claim 10 and further discloses transmitting to the home agent a current location of the mobile host from a base station that receives the page response from the host and designating the base station that receives the page response as a current foreign agent (Sawyer, col. 12, lines 42-66).

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Onoe, Hall, Lorello and Sawyer discloses delivering the data buffered at the last foreing agent to ht emobile host through the current foreign agent, wherein the mobile host transitions to an active state (Lorello, col. 2, lines 2-22).

Regarding claim 13, the combination of Onoe, Hall, Lorello and Sawyer discloses the method of claim 10 including directing the page request from the last foreign agent to the base stations according to a selected one of a fixed paging algorithm, a hierarchical paging algorithm or a last location paging algorithm (Onoe, col. 4, lines 31-43 and col. 5, lines 30-43).

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 15-24 and 28 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,809 Page 7

Art Unit: 2681

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Beamer whose telephone number is (571) 272-7797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 7:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Temica M. Beamer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2681

August 8, 2005

TEMICA BEAMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER